### **Cover Letter Rubric**

### **100 POINTS**

Name

Chapter

| INDICATOR                            | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points   | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Format and<br>General<br>Appearance  | Does not exceed one page without overcrowding; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 pt); uses appropriate business format, date and address at top; addressed to appropriate person; appropriate signature block | Does not exceed one page without overcrowding; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 pt); uses appropriate business format, date and address at top; not addressed to appropriate person; inappropriate signature block                | Exceeds one page;<br>margins are<br>inappropriate; font style<br>is unreadable; font size is<br>too small or too large; no<br>signature; no date or<br>address; no inside<br>address; not in<br>appropriate business<br>format                                 |                  | X 4    |                 |
| Introductory<br>Paragraph            | Clearly identifies position<br>they are applying for;<br>states how they heard<br>about the position; states<br>why they are interested<br>in the position; uses<br>wording to attract<br>reader's attention                                | Identifies position they are applying for; does not state how they found the job; vaguely describes why they are interested in the job; introduction is bland and not attention catching   | Does not clearly identify<br>position they are<br>seeking; no description<br>of how you heard about<br>the position; does not<br>grab the reader's<br>attention  |                  | X 4    |                 |
| Skills and<br>Experiences            | Identifies two to three qualifications for the job; indicates how their education has prepared them for this job; states they are interested in the position; skills and experiences are consistent with resume; refers to resume           | Identifies one to two qualifications for the job; indicates how their education has prepared them for this job; provides a vague explanation of why they are interested in the job; skills and experiences are somewhat consistent with resume; refers to resume | Does not identify relevant qualifications for the job; does not indicate how their education has prepared them for this job; does not state why they are interested in the job; skills and experiences are not consistent with resume; does not mention resume |                  | X 4    |                 |
| Closing<br>Paragraph                 | Thanks reader for taking time to read their cover letter; provides appropriate contact information; makes appropriate provisions for follow up  | Thanks reader for taking<br>time to read their cover<br>letter; provides<br>appropriate contact<br>information, but leaves<br>reader to pursue a follow<br>up  | Does not thank reader<br>for reading their cover<br>letter; does not mention<br>a plan for follow up; does<br>not provide any contact<br>information   |                  | X 3    |                 |
| Spelling/<br>Grammar/<br>Punctuation | Spelling, grammar, and punctuation are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document   | Spelling, grammar, and<br>punctuation are<br>adequate with three to<br>five errors in the<br>document  | Spelling, grammar, and punctuation are less than adequate with six or more errors in the document  |                  | X 5    |                 |

## **Resume Rubric**

### **200 POINTS**

Name Member Number

Chapter State

| Indicator                               | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points  | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|---|--|--|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Contact<br>Information                  | Includes name, address,<br>email address and<br>phone number; name<br>stands out on resume;<br>provides professional e-<br>mail address  | Name does not stand<br>out; email address is too<br>casual   | Missing name, address,<br>email address or phone<br>number; email used is<br>inappropriate or<br>unprofessional  |                  | X3     |                 |
| Education and<br>Relevant<br>Coursework | Contains complete information (listed in reverse chronological order) with relevant courses listed; dates formatted correctly; GPA listed in correct format (if appropriate), includes appropriate honors and awards   | Contains information<br>(listed in reverse<br>chronological order)<br>with relevant courses<br>listed; dates formatted<br>correctly; inappropriate<br>GPA listed, includes<br>appropriate honors and<br>awards   | Information not listed in<br>reverse chronological<br>order; important<br>information missing;<br>information not listed in<br>correct format  |                  | ×7     |                 |
| Relevant<br>Experience and<br>Skills    | Entries are listed in reverse chronological order; company name, job title, location, and employment dates are included; strong action verbs used with correct verb tense; personal pronouns and extraneous words are omitted; bullets are concise, direct and indicate one's impact/accomplishmen ts; results are quantified; bullets are listed in order of importance | Entries are listed in reverse chronological order; company name, job title, location, and employment dates are included; entries have a pattern of one type of error; action verbs are weak; verb tenses are inconsistent; bullets are not concise or direct and do not indicate impact; bullets are written in complete sentences | Entries are not in reverse chronological order; most entries do not include company name, dates, location, or position title; bullets are written in complete sentences; verb tenses are inconsistent; bullets are wordy, vague, or do not indicate one's impact; bullets are not listed in order or importance to the reader; results are not quantified when appropriate; irrelevant or outdated information is listed |                  | X 10   |                 |
| Achievements and Honors                 | Appropriate and relevant achievements and honors listed; achievements and honors related to career goal; provides specific details related to achievements and honors; listed in reverse chronological order   | Appropriate and relevant achievements and honors listed; achievements and honors related to career goal; lacks specific details related to achievements and honors; listed in reverse chronological order  | Achievements and<br>honors not listed in<br>reverse chronological<br>order; inappropriate or<br>irrelevant achievements<br>listed; no achievement or<br>honors are listed  |                  | X 5    |                 |

| Indicator                            | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points  | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points   | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| References                           | Listed appropriate references and provided complete contact information for references   | References are listed,<br>but not all are<br>appropriate or not all<br>contact information for<br>references is included   | Inappropriate references<br>are listed; no references<br>listed; no contact<br>information listed                       |                  | X 2    |                 |
| Spelling/<br>Grammar/<br>Punctuation | Spelling, grammar, and punctuation are extremely high quality with two or less errors in the document  | Spelling, grammar, and punctuation are adequate with three to five errors in the document  | Spelling, grammar, and<br>punctuation are less<br>than adequate with six<br>or more errors in the<br>document           |                  | X 5    |                 |
| Format and<br>General<br>Appearance  | Does not exceed two pages without overcrowding; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 point); headings reflect content and content substantiates headings; resume is targeted to job | Does not exceed two pages; appears overcrowded; margins are acceptable; font size and style are readable (10–12 point); headings don't necessarily reflect content and content substantiates headings; resume is targeted to job | Exceeds two pages;<br>margins are<br>inappropriate; font style<br>is unreadable; font size is<br>too small or too large |                  | X 8    |                 |

# **Initial Screening Interview Rubric**

### **200 POINTS**

Name Member Number

Chapter State

| INDICATOR   | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points  | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points   | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|---|--|---|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Appearance and First Impression                             | Introduced self when joining the video interview or entering room; sat at comfortable distance from camera; background was free from distractions; dressed appropriately; spoke clearly and articulately with no hesitation; used appropriate tone, spoke at right pace to be clear, pronounced words clearly; exhibited confident tone, did not demonstrate nervousness | Incomplete introduction; sat at comfortable distance from camera; background was appropriate with minimal distractions; dressed appropriately; spoke clearly and articulately, but with some hesitation; used appropriate tone, spoke at right pace, but showed some nervousness; pronunciation of words was usually clear, but sometimes vague | Did not introduce self<br>upon joining video<br>interview; sat too far or<br>too close from camera;<br>background was<br>distracting; dressed too<br>casually for interview; did<br>not use appropriate<br>tone, frequently<br>hesitated when<br>speaking; pace was too<br>fast; appeared nervous;<br>pronunciation of words<br>is difficult to understand<br>or was unclear |                  | x 4    |                 |
| Responses to<br>Questions:<br>Position<br>Knowledge         | Used appropriate terms for position; cited relevant examples; knowledge of career field evident (talk the talk); knows education and experience required for position  | Seems to know terms associated with position; cited several relevant examples, but list is incomplete; knew about position, but conveyed incomplete picture; unsure of education or experience required for position  | Knew some of the terms associated with position, but used incorrectly or did not understand terms; unable to cite or provide relevant examples; position education and requirements not known or does not match applicants' skill set  |                  | x 5    |                 |
| Responses to<br>Questions: Skills<br>Related to<br>Position | Discussed skills gained<br>through school or past<br>jobs and how those<br>skills are relevant to<br>current position applied;<br>abilities described<br>match resume  | Described incomplete<br>list of skills gained<br>through school and past<br>jobs and relevance to<br>current position applied;<br>abilities mostly match<br>resume  | Unable to relate skills<br>learned in school or past<br>jobs and relevance to<br>position applied;<br>abilities hardly match<br>resume   |                  | x 5    |                 |
| Response to<br>Questions:<br>Communication<br>Details       | Responses are concise and logically communicated; responses are not "canned"; provided indepth description of skills, not just a list; provided in-depth response to questions, not yes/no responses to questions; established a "theme" that describes overall abilities  | Responses seem rehearsed and somewhat disorganized; provided some depth to description of skills; provided some depth to responses to questions; provided some yes/no responses; was able to tie some abilities together to form a picture of qualifications.   | Responses were "canned" with little logical progression; mainly provided list of skills with little explanation; provided yes/no responses; unable to see an overall theme of persons' abilities   |                  | X 5    |                 |

| INDICATOR  | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5-4 points   | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points   | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|--|---|--|---|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Communication<br>Skills: Non-<br>Verbal          | Maintained optimal eye contact; used appropriate nonverbal communication cues (nods and smiles) to demonstrate level of engagement; exhibited poise (cool under pressure)   | Maintained appropriate<br>eye contact; seemed<br>nervous under pressure,<br>which impacted poise,<br>pleasantness  | Interview lacked<br>engagement as<br>evidenced by lack of eye<br>contact with camera;<br>appeared very nervous,<br>not poised (cracks under<br>pressure)  |                  | x 2    |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills:<br>Persuasiveness       | Led the interview in a direction that enabled them to expand so their skills were expressed, took initiative to add information beyond questions asked.   | Expanded somewhat on<br>skills that are a fit for the<br>position, volunteered<br>some additional<br>information to<br>questions asked.  | Answered yes or no to<br>most questions; did not<br>expand on skill set   |                  | x 4    |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills: Verbal                  | Appropriate volume: Spoke with proper volume to be heard clearly; not too loud, not too soft  Enunciation/grammar: Enunciated clearly and avoid informal dialect; used proper words when speaking (didn't use 10-dollar words when a 5-dollar word would do)  Concise: Avoided run- on sentences and answered with logical and organized thoughts                 | Appropriate volume: Did not modulate volume to express answers; could be heard sometimes, but was quiet when unsure of response and was hard to hear  Enunciation/grammar: Some language not appropriate for position applied; used some slang and exhibited some dialect.  Concise: Some questions were answered in a rambling fashion, but made point; thoughts were logical but somewhat disorganized                                       | Appropriate volume: Volume was either too soft to hear answers or too loud  Enunciation/grammar: Used overly complex or simplistic language; sprinkled in slang and exhibited dialect.  Concise: Rambled and used run-on sentences; answers were poorly organized and thoughts not clearly expressed  |                  | x 4    |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills: Poise and<br>Confidence | Confident: Exhibited self-confidence with body language and verbal communication  Sincere: Expressed true interest in the position they were seeking  Poise: Avoids distracting mannerisms, such as drumming fingers or overuse of "um" and "you know"  Discretion/Tact: Shared appropriate information and did not create an awkward situation through responses | Confident: Exhibited some nervousness, but recovered well; voice and body language showed some uncertainty  Sincere: Seemed somewhat interested in the position and was slightly distracted  Poise: Seemed comfortable with some nervousness; caught self before exhibiting distracting mannerisms; rarely used "um" or "you know"  Discretion/tact: Most professional in tone and shared information that created little, if any, awkwardness | Confident: Did not appear comfortable; was nervous; slouched in chair  Sincere: Seemed uninterested in the position and was distracted  Poise: Demonstrated distracting mannerisms such as drumming fingers, cracking knuckles, etc.; displayed excessive use of "um" and "you know"  Discretion/tact: Shared information that may be seen as personal about someone else that created awkwardness; appeared unprofessional |                  | x 4    |                 |

| INDICATOR                               | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points   | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points   | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1–0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|---|---|---|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Conclusion:<br>Participant<br>Questions | Posed appropriate questions (e.g., when notification of selection will occur and how); clarified next steps, inquired next step in interview process (e.g., if there will be additional interviews, etc.) | Questions posed were<br>somewhat appropriate;<br>some had no relevance<br>to interview; incomplete<br>inquiry of the next steps<br>in the interview process | Did not ask any<br>questions or if questions<br>were asked, questions<br>had no relevance to<br>next steps in the<br>interview process |                  | x 5    |                 |
| Conclusions:<br>Next Steps              | Asked for contact information, ended video interview appropriately and smoothly (thanked interviewers, said goodbye);   | Ended video interview<br>somewhat appropriately<br>(not sure what to do) or<br>without thanking<br>interviewers.  | Ended video interview<br>awkwardly and abruptly;<br>did not thank<br>interviewers or say good-<br>bye, just ended video<br>session     |                  | x 2    |                 |

## **Personal Interview Rubric**

### **500 POINTS**

Name

Chapter

| INDICATOR   | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points  | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points   | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1-0 points   | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|---|--|---|---|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Appearance  | Professionally<br>dress/groomed:<br>Follows standard dress<br>code, polished shoes,<br>clothes pressed,<br>conservative<br>accessories                                   | and "put together";<br>shoes clean, but not   | Very disheveled: Dirty shoes, not wearing black shoes, inappropriate accessories  |                  | x 8    |                 |
|   | <b>Greeting:</b> Appropriate salutation and firm handshake   | <b>Greeting:</b> Confident but uneasy, soft handshake   | <b>Greeting:</b> Does not use salutation, very informal   |                  |        |                 |
| First Impression  | Introduction: States name  Body language: Smiling and pleasant, does not sit until invited, confident in manner  | Introduction: States<br>name only when asked<br>Body language: Rarely<br>smiles, cologne or<br>perfume is distracting                               | Introduction: Fails to introduce self, fails to shake hands with interviewer  Body language: Obnoxious cologne or perfume, chewing gum  |                  | x 13   |                 |
| Responses to<br>Questions:<br>Position<br>Knowledge         | Used appropriate language for position; cited relevant examples; knowledge of career field evident (talk the talk); knows education and experience required for position | list is incomplete; knew<br>about position, but<br>conveyed incomplete<br>picture; unsure of<br>education or<br>experience required for<br>position | Knew some of the language of position, but used incorrectly or did not show understanding of terms; unable to cite or provided few relevant examples; position education and requirements not known or does not match applicants' skill set |                  | x 10   |                 |
| Responses to<br>Questions: Skills<br>Related to<br>Position | Discussed skills gained<br>through school or past<br>jobs and how they are<br>relevant to current<br>position applied;<br>abilities described<br>match the resume        | , ,   | position applied;   |                  | x 10   |                 |

| INDICATOR   | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points  | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1-0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|---|--|--|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Response to<br>Questions:<br>Communication<br>Details | Responses are concise and logically communicated; responses are not "canned"; provided indepth description of skills, not just a list; provided in-depth response to questions, not yes/no responses to questions; established a "theme" that describes overall abilities  | Responses seem rehearsed and somewhat disorganized; provided some depth to description of skills; provided some depth to responses to questions; provided some yes/no responses; was able to tie some abilities together to form a picture of qualifications.  | Responses were "canned" with little logical progression; mainly provided list of skills with little explanation; provided yes/no responses; unable to see an overall theme of persons' abilities |                  | x 10   |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills: Non-<br>Verbals              | Maintained optimal eye contact; used appropriate nonverbal communication cues (nods and smiles) to demonstrate level of engagement; exhibited poise (cool under pressure)  | Maintained appropriate eye contact; seemed nervous under pressure, which impacted poise, pleasantness  | engagement as  |                  | x 4    |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills:<br>Persuasiveness            | Persuasive: Led the interview in a direction that enabled them to expand so their skills were expressed, took initiative to add information beyond question asked.   | Persuasive: Expanded somewhat on skills that are a fit for the position, volunteered some additional information to questions asked.   | _  |                  | x 5    |                 |
| Communication<br>Skills: Verbal                       | Appropriate volume: Spoke with proper volume for room to be heard clearly; not too loud, not too soft  Enunciation/grammar: Enunciated clearly and avoided informal dialect; used proper words when speaking (didn't use 10-dollar words when a 5-dollar word would do)  Concise: Avoided run- on sentences and answered with logical and organized thoughts | Appropriate volume: Did not modulate volume to express answers; could be heard sometimes, but was quiet when unsure of response and was hard to hear  Enunciation/grammar: Some language not appropriate for position applied; used some slang and exhibited some dialect.  Concise: Some questions were answered in a rambling fashion, but made point; thoughts were logical but somewhat disorganized | Enunciation/ grammar: Used overly complex or simplistic language; sprinkled in slang and exhibited dialect. Concise: Rambled and used run-on   |                  | x 13   |                 |

| INDICATOR  | Strong evidence<br>of skill<br>5–4 points   | Moderate evidence<br>of skill<br>3–2 points  | Weak evidence<br>of skill<br>1-0 points  | Points<br>Earned | Weight | Total<br>Points |
|--|---|--|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Communication<br>Skills: Poise and<br>Confidence | Confident: Exhibited self-confidence with body language and verbal communication  Sincere: Expressed true interest in the position they were seeking  Poise: Avoids distracting mannerisms, such as drumming fingers or overuse of "um" and "you know"  Discretion/Tact: Shared appropriate information and did not create an awkward situation through responses | slightly distracted  Poise: Seemed comfortable with some nervousness; caught self before exhibiting distracting mannerisms; rarely used "um" or "you know"     | comfortable; was nervous; slouched in chair  Sincere: Seemed uninterested in the position and was distracted  Poise: Demonstrated distracting mannerisms such as tapping foot, drumming fingers, cracking knuckles, etc.; displayed excessive use of "um" and "you know" |                  | x 12   |                 |
| Conclusion:<br>Participant<br>Questions          | Posed appropriate questions (e.g., when notification of selection will occur and how); clarified next steps, inquired next step in interview process (e.g., if there will be additional interviews, etc.)   | Questions posed were<br>somewhat appropriate;<br>some had no relevance<br>to interview;<br>incomplete inquiry of<br>the next steps in the<br>interview process | •  |                  | x 10   |                 |
| Conclusions:<br>Next Steps                       | Asked for business<br>card, thanked<br>interviewer, confidently<br>stood and shook hands<br>prior to exiting room   |  | Did not ask for<br>business card;<br>ended interview<br>abruptly or<br>awkwardly; exited<br>without expressing<br>thanks or shaking<br>hands   |                  | x 5    |                 |