Agriscience Research Proficiency Award Scoring Rubric								
Proficiency Area:				Applicant Name:	Chapter/State:			
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 3	Mid Points 2-1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Points Earned		
SAE Description & Explanation	Performance Review A, Question 1	3	Clearly and specifically describes what the project is and how member started it. If project involves non-cash exchanges or trades they are specifically explained.	Provides a basic explanation of the project but lacks clear and specific detail of what project is and how member started it. If present non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but lack specific details.	Provides a vague/unclear understanding of what the project is and/or how member started it. If present, non-cash or trade arrangements are mentioned but do not provide enough detail to be clearly understood.			
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 3	Mid Points 2-1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Points Earned		
Roles, Responsibilities	Performance		Response demonstrates a <u>clear description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program. (1-0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited description</u> of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program.  (0.5 - 0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no description</u> of roles, responsibilities, and/or management decisions made related to their SAE program.  (0 to neg. 1)			
	· ·		Response demonstrates <u>significant change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (2-0)	Response demonstrates <u>limited change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (1 - 0)	Response demonstrates <u>no change or progression</u> (growth) of roles, responsibilities and/or management decisions made over time period represented. (0 to neg. 1)			
Challenges	Performance Review A, Question 3	3	Response demonstrates a <u>complete explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (1 - 0)	Response demonstrates a <u>limited explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0.5 - 0)	Response demonstrates <u>little or no explanation</u> of the challenge and steps utilized to address the challenge. (0 to neg. 1)			
			Response demonstrated candidate's <u>complete</u> <u>involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (2 - 0)	Response demonstrated candidate's <u>limited</u> <u>involvement</u> in addressing the challenge (1 - 0.5)	Response demonstrated <u>no involvement</u> in addressing the challenge. (0 to neg. 1)			
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Points Earned		
Progress - Accomplishments & Awareness	Performance Review B - Accomplishment #1	2	Response clearly identifies and describes a measurable accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project has little clarity, detail or measurability.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.			
	Performance Review B - Accomplishment #2	2	Response clearly identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that influenced the growth and success of the project has little clarity, detail or measurability.	Response identifies and describes an accomplishment that had little or no influence on the growth and success of the project or provides no clarity, detail or measurability.			
	Performance Review B - Issue/Trend/Tech/Po licy Impact	2	Response clearly identifies one issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the specific & measurable impact in detail.	Response identifies an issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Describes the impact vaguely and/or impact description is not specifically measurable.	Response does not identify a relevant issue, trend, technology or public policy that impacted the project. Impact is poorly or not at all described or understood or had no measurable impact.			

Page 1 12/20/2023

Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 2	Mid Points 1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Points Earned				
	Performance Review C #1	2	Career objective is clear and specific. Career exploration and research steps to research this choice are described in detail.  NOTE: Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	Career objective is a general field rather than a specific career. Exploration and research steps are not clear or specific.  NOTE: Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.	Career objective is vague and undefined. Little to no exploration or career research is described.  NOTE: Career objective does not have to be related to agriculture or natural resources.					
	Section	Points Possible	High Points 3	Mid Points 2-1	Low Points 0 to neg. 1	Points Earned				
Review C #2 Opportunity : Performance Review C #2	Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 1	3	Experience/activity/opportunity described is clear and specific. Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is not clearly described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is unclearly described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.					
	Performance Review C #2 Opportunity 2	3	Experience/activity/opportunity described is clear and specific. Convincingly describes how the activity provided preparation for named career.	Experience/activity/opportunity is not clearly described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is only vaguely shown or is unrealistic.	Experience/activity/opportunity is unclearly described. How the activity provided preparation for named career is not established or is wildly unrealistic.					
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 5-4	Mid Points 3-2	Low Points 2-0	Points Earned				
Research Projects:	One per project	One per project	Candidate <u>clearly describes</u> hours and expense records for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (2.5-2)	Candidate <u>vaguely describes</u> hours and expense records for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-1)	Candidate <u>minimally or does not describe</u> hours and expense records for the research projects reported. (.5-0)					
Information, Financials and Summary	reported.	reported. 5	reported.	reported.	reported.	ported.	Expense records or justification for no expenses are reasonable for the agriculturally related research projects. (2.5-2)	Expense records or justification for no expenses are somewhat reasonable for the agriculturally related research projects. (1.5-1)	Expense records are <u>not reasonable</u> or no expense justification is provided for the agriculturally related research projects.	
			l .		(.5-0)					
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 9-7	Mid Points 6-4	(.5-0) Low Points 3-0	Points Earned				
Research Projects:	One per project		High Points 9-7  Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research						
		Possible 9	Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented.	Low Points 3-0  Candidate provided an <u>inadequate or lacks</u> an abstract for the research projects reported over the	Earned 				
Research Projects:	One per project	Possible	Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)  Abstracts clearly describe the purpose of each research	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented. (3-2)  Abstracts partially describe the purpose of each	Candidate provided an <u>inadequate or lacks</u> an abstract for the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-0)  Abstracts vaguely describe the purpose of each					
Research Projects: Abstract	One per project reported.	Possible 9 Points	Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)  Abstracts clearly describe the purpose of each research project. (4.5-3.5)	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented. (3-2)  Abstracts partially describe the purpose of each research project. (3-2)	Low Points 3-0  Candidate provided an <u>inadequate or lacks</u> an abstract for the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-0)  Abstracts vaguely describe the purpose of each research project. (1.5-0)  Low Points 3-0	Earned				
Research Projects: Abstract  Area  Research Projects:	One per project reported.  Section  One per project	9 Points Possible	Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)  Abstracts clearly describe the purpose of each research project. (4.5-3.5)  High Points 9-7  Candidate <u>clearly</u> identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (9-7)	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented. (3-2)  Abstracts partially describe the purpose of each research project. (3-2)  Mid Points 6-4  Candidate <u>partially</u> identifies the procedure used to	Candidate provided an inadequate or lacks an abstract for the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-0)  Abstracts vaguely describe the purpose of each research project. (1.5-0)  Low Points 3-0  Candidate vaguely identifies the procedure used to	Earned				
Research Projects: Abstract  Area  Research Projects: Procedure	One per project reported.  Section  One per project reported.	9 Points Possible 9	Candidate provided a <u>well written</u> abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)  Abstracts clearly describe the purpose of each research project. (4.5-3.5)  High Points 9-7  Candidate <u>clearly</u> identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (9-7)	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented. (3-2)  Abstracts partially describe the purpose of each research project. (3-2)  Mid Points 6-4  Candidate <u>partially</u> identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (6-4)	Candidate provided an inadequate or lacks an abstract for the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-0)  Abstracts vaguely describe the purpose of each research project. (1.5-0)  Low Points 3-0  Candidate vaguely identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (3-0)	Points Earned Points				
Research Projects: Abstract  Area  Research Projects: Procedure  Area  Research Projects:	One per project reported.  Section  One per project reported.  Section  One per project	Possible  9  Points Possible  9  Max Points	Candidate provided a well written abstract for each of the research projects reported over the time period represented. (4.5-3.5)  Abstracts clearly describe the purpose of each research project. (4.5-3.5)  High Points 9-7  Candidate clearly identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (9-7)  High Points 10-8  Candidate clearly reports outcomes in the conclusion	Candidate provided a <u>vague abstract</u> for research projects reported over the time period represented. (3-2)  Abstracts partially describe the purpose of each research project. (3-2)  Mid Points 6-4  Candidate <u>partially</u> identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (6-4)  Mid Points 7-4  Candidate <u>partially</u> reports outcomes in the conclusion section for each research project	Candidate provided an inadequate or lacks an abstract for the research projects reported over the time period represented. (1.5-0)  Abstracts vaguely describe the purpose of each research project. (1.5-0)  Low Points 3-0  Candidate vaguely identifies the procedure used to conduct each research project reported. (3-0)  Low Points 3-0  Candidate vaguely reports outcomes in the	Points Earned Points				

Area	Section	Points Possible		High Points	Mid Points	Low Points	Points Earned
	Primary Pathway Standard A1	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
	Primary Pathway Standard A2	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
Primary Pathway	Primary Pathway Standard A3	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
Agriculture, Food and Natural Resource Skills, Competencies and Knowledge		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
(Score each selected standard. No selected standard equals zero points)		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
	Primary Pathway Standard A4	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
	Primary Pathway Standard A5	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	

Page 3 12/20/2023

Any Pathway Standards							
- The state of the		0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
Any Pathway  Agriculture, Food and Natural Resource Skills, Competencies and Knowledge (Score each selected standard. No selected	Any Pathway Standard B6	0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
	Any Pathway Standard B7	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
standard equals zero points)		0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
Foundational Career Ready	Practices & Cluster S	kills					
	Career Ready	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
	Practices or Cluster Skills	0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
	Standard C8	2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
Career Ready Practices and Cluster Skill Standards	Career Ready	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
Skills, Competencies and Knowledge	Practices or Cluster Skills Standard C9	0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
(Score each selected standard. No selected standard equals zero points)		2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	
	Career Ready	0.5	Relevance to Project	Selected standard clearly applies to project detailed in application. (max .5 pts)	Selected standard vaguely applies to project detailed in application. (max 0 pts)	Selected standard does not apply to project detailed in application (Zero Score for entire standard)	
	Practices or Cluster Skills	0.5	Relevance of Activity to Standard	Described activity is completely and clearly related to selected standard. (max .5 pts)	Described activity is vaguely related to selected standard. (max 0 pts)	Described activity is not related to selected standard. (Zero Score for entire standard)	
	Standard C10	2	Quality of Description	Provided description clearly demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 2 pts)	Provided description vaguely demonstrates applicant performing standard. (max 1.9 - 1 pts)	Provided description is unclear and/or does not demonstrate applicant performing standard. (0 pts)	

Page 4 12/20/2023

Area	Section	Points Possible High Points 2		Mid Points 1	Low Points .5- 0	Points Earned
Safety Photos	Photo Pages 1-2	2	Candidate submitted two high quality photos with clear and specific captions that demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	and general captions that somewhat demonstrate	Candidate submitted two photos or fewer photos with captions that very generally or poorly demonstrate and describe safety practices relevant to the project described in the application.	
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 4-3	Mid Points 2-1	Low Points .5 - 0	Points Earned
Project Photos	Photo Pages 3-6	4	Candidate submitted four high quality photos with clearly descriptive captions that demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four quality photos with slightly vague captions that marginally demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE.	Candidate submitted four poor quality photos with non-descriptive captions that poorly demonstrate the overall growth and success of the SAE or submits fewer than four photos.	
Area	Section	Points Possible	High Points 1	Mid Points -1	Low Points -3	Points Earned
Supplemental Information		1	Candidate submitted at least one additional page of SAE related information that added value to the	Candidate submitted an additional page(s) of SAE related information that added limited value to the	Candidate submitted no additional page(s) of SAE related information or the page(s) <u>added little or</u>	
.,,			application.	application.	no value to the application.	
Area	Section	Points Possible	application.  High Points 1	application.  Mid Points -1	no value to the application.  Low Points -3	Points Earned
					<u> </u>	

Judge's Signature	Date

Page 5 12/20/2023